

Teoremi dell'aritmetica di Robinson

e complemento dei capitoli 8 e 9

di Peter Smith

"An Introduction to Gödel's Theorems"

Cambridge UP 2007

$$\overline{m+n} = \overline{m+n}$$

Shitbandi prop 31

Per induzione su n

$$n=0 \Rightarrow \overline{m+0} = \overline{m} = \overline{m+0}$$

$$IH \quad \overline{m+k} = \overline{m+k}$$

$$\overline{m+k+1} = S(\overline{m+k}) = S(\overline{m+k}) = \overline{m+k+1}$$

Corollario

$$0 + \overline{n} = \overline{0+n} = \overline{n}$$

$$\overline{m} \times \overline{n} = \overline{m \times n}$$

$$\overline{m} \times 0 = \overline{0} = \overline{m \times 0}$$

$$1 \neq \overline{m} \times \overline{k} = \overline{m \times k}$$

$$\overline{m \times k+1} = \overline{\left(\overline{m \times k}\right) + \overline{1}} = \overline{m \times k} + \overline{1} = \overline{m \times k + 1}$$

Glückwünsche 3.1

$$m \neq n \rightarrow \overline{m} \neq \overline{n}$$

Dim Supp $m \neq n$ & $\overline{m} = \overline{n}$

$$\text{Sia } m \geq n \text{ e } (m-n) = j+1$$

$$\overline{m} = \overline{n}$$

$$S^m 0 = S^n 0$$

$$S^{m-1} 0 = S^{n-1} 0$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$S^{m-n} 0 = S^{n-n} 0$$

$$S^{j+1} 0 = S^0 0$$

$$S S^j 0 = 0$$

Indice 7

Conseguenze notevoli

$$\overline{m} = S^m 0$$

$$S^j 0 = S^0$$

$$S^0 0 = 0$$

$$S^{m+1} 0 = S^1 S^m 0$$

P. Smith
§ 9.4
Co1)

$$\boxed{\forall x (0 \leq x)}$$

$$\frac{Ax}{x + 0 = x}$$

$$\frac{\exists v (v + 0 = x)}{}$$

$$\frac{0 \leq x}{\forall x (0 \leq x)}$$

$$\boxed{x \leq \bar{k} \rightarrow x \leq \overline{k+1}}$$

P. Smith § 9.4
(os)

$$\frac{[a + x = \bar{k}]}{}$$

$$\frac{S(a+x) = S\bar{k}}{}$$

$$\frac{a + Sx = S\bar{k}}{}$$

lemma above

$$\frac{Sa + x = S\bar{k}}{}$$

$$\frac{\exists y (y + x = S\bar{k})}{}$$

$$\frac{[x \leq k]^2}{}$$

$$\frac{\exists v (v + x = \bar{k})}{}$$

$$\frac{x \leq \overline{k+1}}{}$$

1

$$\frac{x \leq \overline{k+1}}{}$$

2

$$\frac{\exists x \leq \bar{k} \rightarrow \exists x \leq k+1}{}$$

$$\boxed{S_{x+\bar{n}} = x + S_{\bar{n}}}$$

Lemma aus Teil 1

$$\frac{Ax}{S_{x+0} = S_x} \quad x+0=x$$

$$h=0$$

$$\frac{Ax}{S_{x+n+1} = S(S_{x+\bar{n}})} \quad \frac{IH}{S_{x+n} = x + S_{\bar{n}}}$$

$$S_{x+0} = S(x+0)$$

$$\frac{Ax}{S_{x+0} = x + S_0}$$

$$S_{x+n+1} = S(x + S_{\bar{n}})$$

$$S_{x+n+1} = x + S_{\bar{n}+1}$$

$$S_{x+n+1} = x + S(\bar{n}+1)$$

$$\boxed{\bar{k} \leq k+1}$$

Lemma aus Teil 1

$$S_{0+\bar{k}} = 0 + \bar{k}+1$$

$$S_{0+\bar{k}} = \bar{k}+1$$

$$S_{0+\bar{k}} = \bar{k}+1$$

$$\bar{k} \leq k+1$$

Non vale in generale $0+x=x$,

ma vale per i numerali.

Vedi P.S. m. 1.4 / § 8.2 e § 8.4

$$x \leq \bar{k} \rightarrow (x=0 \vee x=\bar{1} \vee \dots \vee x=\bar{k})$$

$$\text{Postulate de } x \leq 0 \rightarrow (x=0)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{1} \quad [x = s_6] \quad \text{4} \\ \hline \text{2} \quad [a+x=0] \\ \hline \text{3} \quad a+s_6=0 \\ \hline \text{5} \quad (a+s_6)=0 \\ \hline \text{6} \quad ax=1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Ax3} \quad [\exists y (x=s_y)] \quad \text{2} \quad [\exists y (x=s_y)] \\ \hline \text{1} \quad [x=0 \vee \exists y (x=s_y)] \quad [x=0] \\ \hline \text{2} \quad [\exists y (x=s_y)] \\ \hline \text{3} \quad x=0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{5} \\ \hline [x \leq 0] \\ \hline \exists v (v+x=0) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x=0 \\ \hline 4 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x=0 \\ \hline x \leq 0 \rightarrow x=0 \end{array}$$

Chiriacoli prop 3.1

P. Santy § 9.4 (03)

1.4

$$x \leq \bar{k} \rightarrow (x = \bar{0} \vee x = \bar{1} \vee \dots \vee x = \bar{k})$$

$$\frac{\exists x (x \leq \overline{k+1})}{\exists x (x + x = S \bar{k})}$$

$$\exists x (x + S \bar{6} = S \bar{k})$$

$$\exists x (S(x + \bar{6}) = S \bar{k})$$

$$\exists x (x + \bar{6} = \bar{k})$$

$$\bar{6} \leq k$$

$$\bar{6} = 0 \vee \bar{6} = \bar{1} \vee \dots \vee \bar{6} = \bar{k}$$

$$S \bar{6} = S 0 \vee S \bar{6} = S(\bar{1}) \vee \dots \vee S \bar{6} = S \bar{k}$$

$$x = \bar{1} \vee x = \bar{2} \vee \dots \vee x = \overline{k+1}$$

$$x = \bar{1} \vee \dots \vee x = \overline{k+1}$$

$$x = 0 \vee x = \bar{1} \vee \dots \vee x = \overline{k+1}$$

$$x = 0 \vee x = \bar{1} \vee \dots \vee x = \overline{k+1}$$

$$x = 0 \vee x = \bar{1} \vee \dots \vee x = \overline{k+1}$$

$$x \leq \overline{k+1} \rightarrow x = 0 \vee x = \bar{1} \vee \dots \vee x = \overline{k+1}$$

P. Smith

§ 3.4

(107)

$$\overline{m} \leq x \rightarrow (\overline{m} = x \vee \overline{m} = x)$$

$$\frac{1 \quad \overline{a = sb} \quad 4}{\overline{a + n = x}}$$

$$sb + n = x$$

$$b + sm = x$$

$$\exists v (v + sn = x)$$

$$sm \leq x$$

$$\frac{sm \leq x}{1}$$

$$\frac{4 \quad \overline{a + v = sb} \quad 3}{\overline{a = 0}} \quad \exists y (a = sy)$$

$$\frac{0 + n = x}{n = x}$$

Ax

$$\frac{5 \quad \overline{m \leq xc}}{1}$$

$$a = 0 \vee \exists y (a = sy)$$

$$m = xc \vee sm \leq x$$

$$m = xc \vee sm \leq xc$$

2, 3

$$\exists v (v + n = x)$$

$$n = xc \vee sn \leq xc$$

4

$$n = xc \vee sm \leq xc$$

5

$$m \leq xc \rightarrow m = xc \vee sn \leq xc$$

$$\frac{\text{Teor}}{[\overline{\overline{k=a}}]}$$

3

$$\frac{\overline{a=\overline{k}}}{\overline{a=\overline{k} \rightarrow a \leq \overline{k+1}}}$$

(07)

$$\frac{[\overline{\overline{k \leq a}}]}{\text{Teor}}$$

2

(07)

$$\frac{\text{Teor}}{[\overline{a \leq k-a} \leq \overline{k+1}]}$$

(06)

$$\frac{\overline{a \leq k+1}}{[\overline{a \leq k+1} \vee \overline{k+1 \leq a}]}$$

5

$$\frac{\overline{a \leq k+1} \vee \overline{k+1 \leq a}}{[\overline{a \leq k+1} \vee \overline{k+1 \leq a}]}$$

$$\frac{\text{Teor}}{\forall x (0 \leq x)}$$

$$\frac{0 \leq a}{a \leq 0 \vee 0 \leq a}$$

$$\frac{a \leq 0 \vee 0 \leq a}{a \leq \overline{k} \vee \overline{k} \leq a \rightarrow a \leq \overline{k+1} \vee \overline{k+1} \leq a}$$

$$0 \leq a$$

5

$$\frac{a \leq \overline{k} \vee \overline{k} \leq a}{a \leq \overline{k+1} \vee \overline{k+1} \leq a}$$

$$\forall x (x \leq \overline{n} \vee \overline{n} < x)$$

8

P. Smith § 8.4

$$0 + \bar{n} = \bar{n}$$

$$\frac{Ax}{0+0=0} \quad \frac{Ax}{0+S0=S(0+0)}$$

$$\frac{Ax}{0+S0=S0} \quad \frac{Ax}{0+SS0=S(0+S0)}$$

$$0+SS0=SS0 \quad 0+SSSO=S(0+SS0)$$

$$0+SSSO=SSSO$$

o
o
o

!

Non si dimostra che $0 + xc = xc$

Non possiamo usare l'induzione su xc , perché non abbiamo l'assunto di induzione
Proviamo ad usare il teorema $\forall xc \quad x=0 \vee \exists y (x=y)$.

$$\frac{xc=0 \quad 0+xc=?}{?}$$

non possiamo seguire la sostituzione

Costruiamo un modello M per l'aritmetica di Robinson

in cui $M \neq \forall x (0+x=x)$

$$D = \{ \langle a, b \rangle \mid \hat{0} = 0 \quad \hat{S}(n) = n+1 \quad \hat{S}(a) = a \quad \hat{S}(b) = b$$

$$\hat{+} : a + n = a \quad b + n = b \quad x + a = b \quad x + b = a$$

$$\hat{\cdot} : a \hat{\cdot} S_n = b \quad b \hat{\cdot} S_n = a \quad x \hat{\cdot} a = b \quad x \hat{\cdot} b = a$$

$$a \hat{=} 0 = 0 \quad b \hat{=} 0 = 0$$

$$M \neq \forall x (x+0=x) \quad \text{infatti} \quad 0+a=b$$

$\leq \bar{e}$ fortgesetzte representantes in \mathbb{R}

$$\lfloor a + sso = so \rfloor \perp$$

$$s(a + so) = so$$

$$\underline{s s(a + o) = so} \quad a + o = a$$

$$\underline{s s a = so}$$

$$\underline{s a = o}$$

$$\underline{o = s a}$$

$$\exists v [r + sso = so]$$

\perp

$\underline{2}$

$$\neg \exists v [r + sso = so]$$

$$A \models m \leq n \Rightarrow R \vdash \neg (\bar{m} \leq \bar{n})$$

Example for $m = 2$ and $n = 1$

$$A \models m \leq n \Rightarrow M \models m + K = n$$

für jedes K

$$(R \models \bar{m} + \bar{K} = \bar{n})$$

$$\vdash \exists v [r + \bar{K} = \bar{n}]$$

$$\vdash \bar{m} \leq \bar{n}$$

Th 9.2 $\mathcal{R} \bar{x} \Sigma_1$ -complete

Th 9.3 Sia φ une Π_1 -sentence

$$\mathcal{R} \models \varphi \iff \mathcal{R} \cup \{\varphi\} \text{ \u00e9 consistente}$$

$$\iff \mathcal{R} \not\models \neg \varphi$$

φ : Goldbach's conjecture

$$\forall x \{ (\varphi(x) \wedge y \leq x) \longrightarrow (\exists z \leq x) (\exists y \leq x) (X(y) \wedge X(z) \wedge y + z = x) \}$$

per\u00f2 $\varphi(x) =_{df} (\exists v \leq x) (2 \times v = x)$

primos $X(x) =_{df} x \neq 1 \wedge (\forall u \leq x) (\nexists v \leq x) (u \times v = x \longrightarrow (u = 1 \vee v = 1))$

Ghilardi

pag 5

In PA si dimostra l'assione 3 dell'aritmetica di Robinson

$\forall x$

$$Sx = Sx$$

Teor

$$Sx = Sx \rightarrow \exists y (Sx = Sy)$$

$$\exists y (Sx = Sy)$$

$$Sx \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (Sx = Sy)$$

$$\frac{[Sx \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \neq Sy)] \rightarrow [Sx \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (Sx = Sy)]}{[Sx \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \neq Sy)] \rightarrow [Sx \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (Sx = Sy)]}$$

Teor

$$0 \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (0 \neq Sy)$$

$$\frac{\forall x [x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \neq Sy)] \rightarrow (\exists S = x) \exists y (Sx \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (Sx = Sy))}{(\exists S = x) \exists y (Sx \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (Sx = Sy))}$$

$$\forall x (x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (x \neq Sy))$$

$$\forall x (x = 0 \vee \exists y (x = Sy))$$